Legislative Fee Changes 86th Legislature Regular Session

Margie Johnson
Assistant General Counsel

February 3, 2020



HB 145 – Default Fees

(LGC, § 118.131(h))

- Prior to HB 145
 - > Fees defaulted to the rates in effect on August 31, 1981
- Now
 - Fees default to the rates in effect the preceding fiscal year



HB 156 – ODL

(Transp. Code, § 521.2462(a),(a-1)- (a-3); Gov't Code § 76.015(a-1))

- **BEFORE**: Community Supervision & Corrections Department (CSCD) could supervise compliance
- NOW: CSCD or the Personal Bond Office (PBO)
- Administrative fee (\$25-\$60)
 - ➤ Mandatory, if CSCD supervises, but no double dipping
 - ➤ Discretionary, if PBO supervises



HB 435 - Uncollectibles

(Gov't Code § 51.609 & CCP Art. 103.0081)

- Counties & cities spend time & money trying to collect from indigent defendants and parties
- BEFORE: There was a population requirement & limited to criminal fees and costs
 - More than 780,000 but less than 790,000
- NOW: No population limit & civil clerks can request
 - ➤ Unpaid for at least 15 years
 - ➤ Deceased or serving life or life w/o parole
 - ➤ If request is granted, clerk or officer can designate the fee or cost *uncollectible* in the fee record



HB 770 – Settlement Agreement Database

(Gov't Code §72.034)

- OCA will establish an electronic database
 - For personal injury & wrongful death settlement agreements involving minors or incapacitated persons who are beneficiaries of the agreements
- OCA may set & collect a fee to record an agreement in the database
 - > Fee cannot exceed \$50
- Applies to cases pending and filed on or after 09/01/19



HB 1399 – DNA Records & Costs

(Gov't Code § 411.147(a)(1),(e); CCP Art. 102.020)

- Expands the list of felony offenses requiring a DNA sample NOW, at the time of arrest)
- Purpose
 - To expand the DNA pool to increase our likelihood of solving crimes
- HB 1399 v. SB 346
 - ➤One keeps & the other consolidates & repeals the DNA court costs (\$250, \$50, or \$34)
 - ➤Gov't Code § 311.025(b) requires the bills to be harmonized to give effect to each, if possible
 - ➤ Applying SB 346 gives effect to both

HB 2048 – State Traffic Fine

(Transp. Code § 542.4031)

- State traffic fine increased from \$30 to \$50
- Effective for offenses committed on or after 9/1/19
- Only assess this fine if the defendant was convicted of a rules of the road offense under Subtitle C, Title 7, Transp. Code (i.e., Chapters 541-600)
- "Conviction" includes deferred adjudication and deferred disposition
- 4% to the county/city as a collection fee and 96% is directed to the state
 - Allocation used to be 5%/95%



HB 2048 – DWI Traffic Fines

(Transp. Code § 709.001)

- DWI Traffic Fines \$3000, \$4,500, or \$6000
 - ➤ Is in addition to fine prescribed for DWI
 - ➤ Oral pronouncement
 - "Finally convicted" probably means jail or prison
 - ➤ Indigent Defendants
 - ➤ Service collection fee 4%
 - ➤ May retain interest, if qualified
 - Comptroller has audit authority



HB 3361 – Court Reporter Service Fee

(Gov't Code § 51.601(a-1))

- Basically, increases the court reporter service fee for Hidalgo County from \$15 to \$30
- Applies to clerk of each court if:
 - ➤ A civil case is filed;
 - The county has an official court reporter;
 - The county has a population of 750,000 or more; and
 - ➤ The county is located on the Texas-Mexico border (El Paso County and Hidalgo County)



SB 658 – Records Management and Preservation Fee and the Records Archive Fee

(Gov't Code § 51.305(b); Local Gov't Code § 118.011(b)(f))

- Makes the temporary increases in the records archive fee and the records management and preservation fee charged by district and county courts in *civil* cases *permanent*.
 - ➤ Continue charging \$10



SB 346 – Court Costs, Fines, & Reimbursement Fees

Hang in There







- Resources Are Available
 - > OCA Webinar:

https://www.txcourts.gov/publicat ions-training/trainingmaterials/webinars/allwebinars/judicial-council/

>TAC Webinar:

https://www.county.org/Education -Training/Event-Presentations

- ➤OCA Court Cost Charts:
- https://www.txcourts.gov/publicationstraining/publications/filing-feescourts-costs/
- ➤OCA Felony Judgment Forms and Instructions (updated): https://www.txcourts.gov/rules-forms/
- ➤ Email or Call leave details

 Margie.Johnson@txcourts.gov
 (512) 936-1183

SB 346 – Court Costs, Fines, & Reimbursement Fees

- Adds costs to the state consolidated court cost (Local Gov't Code § 133.102)
- Creates a local consolidated court cost (Local Gov't Code §§ 134.101-134.103
- Reclassifies several court costs as fines (see cost charts)
- Creates a new category of reimbursement fees (to recover cost of services performed)
- Repeals certain court costs that were not collected for criminal justice purposes or restricts use to that



SB 346 – Court Costs, Fines, & Reimbursement Fees

What Does This Mean:

- Defendants now pay only 2 court costs;
 - > The state consolidated court cost
 - > The local consolidated court cost
- Any fines imposed by the judge; and
- Any reimbursement fees for services performed



The Distribution of the Court Costs, Fine, & Reimbursement Fees

Court Costs

- Local Gov't Code Secs. 133 and 134 lay out the distribution of the consolidated court costs into specific funds by certain percentages
 - State allocates the state consolidated court cost
 - Auditor/treasurer allocates local consolidated court cost

Fines

- In the past, the only fine was the general fine that stayed with city/county.
- Now, the statute for the fine will indicate where the fine goes.

Reimbursement Fees

- Used to reimburse city/county or third-party for service provided.
- Statute will indicate where the funds should go.



What is a conviction?

- General definition of "conviction" does <u>not</u> include deferred adjudication or deferred disposition.
- Specific court cost/fine/reimbursement fee statute may alter the general definition of "conviction"
- Court costs/fines/reimbursement fees in Local Government Code use definition that includes deferred adjudication/disposition.
- Unless otherwise defined, court costs/fines/reimbursement fees in **Code of Criminal Procedure** do <u>not</u> include deferred adjudication/disposition.
- Time payment reimbursement fee was moved from LGC to CCP and no specific definition was included = no longer applies to deferred adjudication/disposition



Imposing the court costs, fines, reimbursement fees at sentencing

Court costs

- Total in the judgment
- Detailed in the bill of costs
- Become payable once a bill of costs is produced (district/county/JP/municipal) or ready to be produced (JP/municipal)

Fines

- As part of punishment, should be pronounced at sentencing and made a part of the judgment
- Total and details are included in the judgment

Reimbursement fees

- Total in the judgment
- Detailed in the bill of costs



SB 346 in light of SB 1913 (85R)

- Last session, law amended to require judges to consider ability to pay at sentencing
- Nothing about SB 346 changes that
 - Several provisions of SB 346 reinforce last session's changes
- Judges can reduce or waive court costs, fines and/or reimbursement fees
 - Mandatory costs/fines/reimbursement fees can be assessed and then reduced or waived

Texas Office of Court Administration

Bench Card for Judicial Processes Relating to the Collection of Fines and Costs

District and County Court Version - Applies to Jailable Offenses

The U.S. Supreme Court has held that courts may not incarcerate a person for nonpayment of fines or fees without first establishing that the person's failure to pay was willful.¹

There is new law in Texas which affects the imposition and collection of fines and court costs and impacts trial courts at all levels.² Senate Bill 1913 and House Bill 351 were passed by the 85th Legislature, Regular Session, and became effective on September 1, 2017.

Key procedural elements of the new law are as follows:

⇒ NEW REQUIREMENT FOR ASSESSING ABILITY TO PAY DURING OR IMMEDIATELY AFTER SENTENCING (At 42.15)

- At the sentencing of a defendant who enters a plea in open court, when imposing a fine and costs the judge is required to inquire whether the defendant has sufficient resources or income to immediately pay all or part of the fine and costs.
- At this time, the judge shall also consider the defendant's financial history and other relevant ability to pay
 information.
- If the judge determines that the defendant does not have sufficient resources or income, the judge is required
 to determine whether the fine and costs should be:
 - required to be paid at some later date or in a specified portion at designated intervals;
 - discharged through the performance of community service;
 - □ waived in full or part: or
 - satisfied through any combination of these methods

⇒ NEW REQUIREMENTS AND OPTIONS FOR COMMUNITY SERVICE (Art. 43.09)

- Any order requiring a defendant's performance of community service must
 - specify the number of hours to be performed;
 - designate which agency will perform the administrative duties associated with defendant's placement in a community service program; <u>and</u>
 - include the date by which a defendant must submit proof of completion of the community service hours to the court.
- Community service options have been expanded to include not only service provided to a governmenta
 entity or certain nonprofit organizations, but also:
 - attending a work and job skills training program, a preparatory class for the GED, an alcohol or drug abuse program, a rehabilitation program, a counseling program, a mentoring program, or any similar activity, or performing community service for an educational institution or any organization that provides services to the general public that enhances social welfare and the well-being of the community.



Bearden v. Georgia, 461 U.S. 660 (1983).

² Jurisdictions required to operate a collection improvement program must also follow rules promulgated by the Texas Judicial Council. See 1 Tex. Admin. Code 5

³ Statutory references are to the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure

SB 346 – Frequently Asked Questions

Check out FAQs posted on our website

https://www.txcourts.gov/media/1445235/questions-from-webinar-revised.pdf

- You will find answers to questions regarding:
 - ➤ Assessing the **Time Payment and OMNI fees** after SB 346
 - ➤ What to do if your county does not have a specialty court
 - ➤ What costs to assess after SB 346
 - ➤ Allocation rules
 - The new fines
 - ➤ Waiving & Reducing Costs



Questions?

Email or Call Margie Johnson

Margie.Johnson@txcourts.gov

Assistant General Counsel
Office of Court Administration
(512) 936-1183

Provide details and leave contact information, including your email address

